

FISH AND AQUATIC CONSERVATION

PACIFIC REGION AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES PROGRAM

> Columbia River Basin Coordination Meeting June 2024

Geographic Scope



FAC Assets Pacific Region

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - Pacific Region Fish and Aquatic Conservation Program Facilities



Program Priorities



Prevention

Early Detection Rapid Response

Control / Management Coordination / Communication Prevention Horizon Scanning Risk Assessments, HACCP Early Detection Broad Spectrum Monitoring Targeted Species **Rapid Response** Control / Management Coordination / Communication

Priority Aquatic Invasive Species

- Zebra mussels
- Quagga mussels
- New Zealand mudsnail
- Corbicula spp.
- Mystery snail(s)
- Rusty crayfish
- Marbled crayfish
- Virile crayfish
- American bullfrog

- Eurasian watermilfoil
- I •Hydrilla
 - Elodea
 - Spiny waterflea
 - Algae
 - Copepods (*Pseudodiaptomus forbesi*, *Oithona davisae*)



Priority Aquatic Invasive Species

- Northern pike
- Largemouth bass
- Smallmouth bass
- walleye
- Brook trout
- Invasive carp species
- Large scale loach
- Round goby



- Invasive copepods
- American shad
- European green crab

Monitoring

- Early Detection
- Multi-agency coordination
- eDNA sampling
- Plankton sampling /veligers
- Artificial substrates
- Snorkel / SCUBA surveys
- Opportunistic sampling



https://www.westernais.org/monitoring

Surveillance Monitoring

- Visual inspection surveys
- eDNA monitoring Zebra & Quagga mussel Northern pike Common carp New Zealand mudsnail



Carson, Willard, Little White Salmon, Spring Creek, Eagle Creek, and Warm Springs NFHs



eDNA monitoring at NFHs

- Carson, Willard, Little White Salmon, Spring Creek, Eagle Creek and Warm Springs NFHs
- ongoing since 2015 to present
- FY24 8 samples total per facility (x 6 facilities) 64 samples tested for 5 species (\$153.00 / sample) 60 samples tested for a single species (\$67.32 / sample) supplies including cellulose nitrate filters Non-salary costs is approx. \$16,000 (\$12K in FY23)

eDNA monitoring at NFHs

Three separate projects in one:

- 1) Presence / absence for high priority AIS
- 2) eDNA protocol testing

3) Occupancy modeling and detection probability New Zealand mudsnail



Project Objectives

- Estimate the detection probability of eDNA and visual surveys.
- Estimate the probability of occupancy if NZMS not detected at a site.





Burnt Bridge Creek, WA <10m wide, 4 sample sites



Lower Deschutes River, OR >100m wide, 4 sample sites

NZMS Survey Locations



Nestucca River, OR <90m wide, 1 sample site



Youngs Bay, OR >100m wide, 1 sample site



Columbia/Kalama River, WA >100m wide, 4 sample sites

Occupancy Analysis

- Single-season occupancy model with 2 levels.
 - 1. Probability that a site is occupied.
 - 2. Probability of detecting NZMS in 1 sample of eDNA or visually, given a site is occupied.
- Detection probability of eDNA modeled as a function of waterbody size.
- Detection probability of visual surveys modeled as function of waterbody size and NZMS eDNA concentrations at the site.
- Model developed by Peterson and Dunham (2003) used to estimate probability of occupancy if NZMS NOT detected at a site. Used to guide/optimize sampling effort.
- Analyses were conducted using Bayesian methods with uninformative priors.

Visual Surveys

- 1-2 field personnel
- Inspected 20m section of stream for ≥10 minutes
- Survey focused on suitable NZMS habitat in water depths <1.2m
- NZMS specimen collected for ID verification



eDNA sample collection & filtration

- Three 0.5L grab samples (replicates) collected from each site.
- Samples filtered through 0.45µm cellulose nitrate membrane.
- Sent to WSU eDNA laboratory for analysis.
- Single negative control (0.5L distilled water) collected from each site.





Results – NZMS visual surveys

- 33 visual surveys conducted from 2015-2021.
- NZMS observed during 12 surveys.
- Relative snail abundance
 - Youngs Bay ($\approx 800 \text{ snail/m}^2$)
 - Burnt Bridge Cr. (\approx 20 snail/m²)
 - Nestucca R. (\approx 2 snail/m²)
 - Columbia/Kalama R. ($\approx 1 \text{ snail/m}^2$)



Youngs Bay NZMS observed at 1 site & 3 of 3 surveys



Burnt Bridge Creek NZMS observed at 2 of 4 sites & 7 of 10 surveys



Nestucca River NZMS observed at 1 site & 1 of 2 surveys



Columbia/Kalama River NZMS observed at 1 of 4 sites & 1 of 9 surveys



Results – eDNA

99 eDNA grab samples collected.

Youngs Bay, N=9 100% samples NZMS+ Avg. eDNA copies/ml = 286.61



Lower Deschutes River, N=27 41% samples NZMS+ Avg. eDNA copies/ml = 0.42



Burnt Bridge Creek, N=30 90% samples NZMS+ Avg. eDNA copies/ml = 40.08



Nestucca River, N=6 100% samples NZMS+ Avg. eDNA copies/ml = 9.93



Columbia/Kalama River, N=27 33% samples NZMS+ Avg. eDNA copies/ml = 2.16

Study Conclusions

- eDNA can provide a higher detection probability of NZMS than visual surveys.
- Current level of eDNA sampling sufficient to detect NZMS at NFHs.
- eDNA detection inconsistent if flow is high, species abundance low or has patchy distribution.
- Visual surveys prone to imperfect detection if NZMS abundance low, or sample site is large or complex.
- Visual surveys reliable in small, shallow streams or when NZMS abundance high.



Willard NFH intake

eDNA monitoring at NFH

- Currently sample 6 lower Columbia River hatcheries
- Expand to conduct baseline sampling for R1 hatcheries
- Baseline would be 8 samples total per facility
- Conduct this work 2x/year (ideally 1x in summer @ low water)
- Consider timing of fish releases to time sampling and results
- Coordinated financial instrument may save on overhead costs
- This work is currently conducted with Washington State Univ.
- Current sample process timing is several months

Questions?

Theresa Thom Regional Office (Portland, OR) theresa_thom@fws.gov

Jen Poirier Columbia River FWCO Jennifer_Poirier@fws.gov

Julie Harris Columbia River FWCO Julianne_Harris@fws.gov

